

IS ANNA M. ROSENBERG RED, PINK, OR YELLOW?

Committee Whitewash Of Red Anna Reveals Treason In High Places

10,000 COPIES OF SENATE COMMITTEE
HEARING BURNED. HER TESTIMONY
PROVED SHE WAS THE RED ANNA
ROSENBERG. PERMITTED TO CHANGE
TESTIMONY

Incontestable proof exists that the appointment and confirmation of Anna M. Rosenberg as Assistant Secretary of Defense in November 1950 was the final and most important link in the chain of the conspiracy of the international Red "invisible empire" to impose their Marxist (Communist) political, economic and social systems upon the American people. The available evidence destroys all doubt and defies any denial.

By a form of "remote control," an art which the Reds have developed into a highly specialized science, the international Red "invisible empire" exerted tremendous pressure upon the Senate Committee for Armed Services to confirm the appointment by General George Marshall of Anna M. Rosenberg as Assistant Secretary of Defense.

By "using the whip" on the Senate Committee on Armed Services the international "invisible empire" was able to procure a complete whitewash of Anna M. Rosenberg's fitness for the position after her fitness for this high post was challenged upon information which was deliberately concealed from the American people, and which indicated Anna M. Rosenberg as a poor security risk under existing international conditions.

The first whitewash of Anna M. Rosenberg was given to her by the Senate Committee on Armed Services under its Chairman, Senator Millard ("Whitewash") Tydings, on November 29, 1950. Chairman Millard ("Whitewash") Tydings also will go down in history for the whitewash administered by him to Alger Hiss when the Senate Committee on Armed Services dispelled all doubt of its ability to recognize a communist spy with all the proof there on the table under Senator Millard ("Whitewash") Tydings very own nose.

The second whitewash of Anna M. Rosenberg was given to her with super-suds. This infamous whitewash will rank in history as even more rank than its predecessor. After the Alger Hiss fiasco Senator Millard ("Whitewash") Tydings lacked the nerve to officiate at the gala whitewash of Anna M. Rosenberg when the Senate Committee on Armed Services reopened the hearings to take more testimony on the fitness of Anna M. Rosenberg to fill the position of Assistant Secretary of Defense. Senator Millard ("Whitewash") Tydings did not preside as chairman when the reopened hearings were held on December 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13 and 14, 1950. Senator Richard Russell of Georgia was substituted as the chairman. He became pinch-hitter for Senator Tydings for obvious reasons.

The Hon. Alfred E. Smith was in the habit of saying "lets take a look at the record" when any doubt existed on any question. A "look at the record" will convince even the most skeptical illiterate in political double-talk that the appointment and confirmation of Anna M. Rosenberg to the post of Assistant Secretary of Defense in 1950 was a blow struck against the security of the nation and the survival of civilization. The "day of reckoning" may dawn in the not too distant future when the American people will understand the implications of whitewashing persons who should not be allowed in our government at all.

What is the "skeleton" in the Anna M. Rosenberg appointment? How well has Anna M. Rosenberg concealed her "skeleton"? How much longer can Anna M. Rosenberg hide her "skeleton" from the American people? Did Anna M. Rosenberg actually conceal her "skeleton" from the members of the Senate Committee on Armed Services? Did the members of the Senate Committee on Armed Services conspire to conceal Anna M. Rosenberg's "skeleton" from the American people?

The American people have a right to know "the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth" about the "skeletons" in Anna M. Rosenberg's "closet." The security of the nation and the survival of civilization demand that every patriot "hew to the line and let the chips fall where they may."

—(Continued on Page 2)—

✓ ✓ communism is treason — fight it with common sense

The Nation's Anti-Communist Paper

5¢

Common Sense


"All the News
Kept Out of Print"

VOL. VI NO. 173 UNION, NEW JERSEY, NOVEMBER 1, 1952 \$1.00 PER YEAR

Dulles-Front Man For Zionist Rockefeller Cabal

John Foster Dulles is regarded as the Rockefeller Empire's dispenser of patronage and guardian of the subversive, pro-Communist cell in the State Department. The New York Times quoted Dulles on July 25, 1950, as acknowledging to a group of Republican Senators that he was well aware of the existence of a group of Communists in the State Department who were working to betray China.

Alger Hiss informed the Senate Investigating Committee that his sponsor, adviser and mentor throughout his career had been John Foster Dulles. Dulles and David Rockefeller, as Trustees of the Carnegie Endowment For International Peace were acknowledged to have made Hiss president of the organization.



JOHN FOSTER DULLES

On July 12, 1949 (Congressional Record, p. 9276). Dulles stated that he had attended the Paris Conference of Foreign Ministers, in company with Philip C. Jessup, as "advisor" to Dean Acheson; and that there he had discussed with Andrei Vishinsky, among others, the matter of a let-up of the "Cold War." It had been decided by them that such a let-up must not occur because it would not be wise on the ground that:

"Some fear that any relaxation of the East-West tension would bring a corresponding relaxation on the part of the American people, and therefore they needed to be artificially alarmed."

On July 15, 1949, Sen. William E. Jenner, of Indiana, was reported by the N.Y. Times 7/16/49, p. 4, col. 3) to have

"... strongly and personally challenged Sen. Foster Dulles and called upon Sen. Dulles . . . to rise and give an explanation of his remarks at the Big 4 Foreign Ministers Conference in Paris that the U.S. public be kept artificially alarmed. The suggestion was rejected, and Sen. Dulles sat silent and smiling. Dulles later told reporters that it was suggested at the conference, but would not tell who offered the suggestion. He just chuckled and made no reply."

Sen. Jenner summed up as follows:

"In other words . . . they take the position: Tell the American people only what you want

(Continued on Page 4)

VAN HYNING CASE DROPPED

On November 10, 1952, our case came up again for hearing before Judge William V. Daly, in the Municipal Court of Chicago. Once again Mr. Dilling was prepared to argue our motion to squash the information or charge, brought by Ira Latimer, because of its failure to cite in what manner I had supposedly libeled the Jewish race and religion. Mr. Dilling answered ready when Court convened. However, Assistant State's Attorney Zemel immediately moved that the case be stricken and dismissed from the Court call, reserving the right to "reinstate" it at some future date. Mr. Dilling objected to dismissal "with leave to reinstate" and strenuously urged that the case proceed, but evidently in view of the fact that one cannot force someone to prosecute, the Judge granted Zemel's motion. Presumably, the "leave to reinstate" provision is a device to protect Latimer and his associates from a well-deserved false arrest suit.

since the language of law has nuances of meaning which are difficult for a layman to report, I give the following interpretation by Mr. Albert Dilling:

"Such litigation, (suit for false arrest) of course entails considerable expense, and it does not seem fair that such expense should be borne by the victim of this unwarranted Jewish persecution. We are convinced that this charge against her was but a "trial balloon," so to speak; and that, had the Jewish cabal prevailed against her, the stage would have been set for a deluge of similar actions against persons some important, others unimportant, who "know the score" and print the truth about the Jewish conspiracy against Christian civilization.

"This, then, is not a personal fight, but one for the patriotic Christian cause as a whole. Will the readers of Women's Voice support its editor with prayers and financial help, in a false arrest action such as we propose to file? Expressions from readers in this connection will be welcomed.

"As is well known, Ira Latimer has a long pro-Communist record. It may be recalled that he was barred from teaching in Chicago's public schools because of it. It would be poetic justice, should his latest victim secure a substantial judgment against him for false arrest and malicious prosecution predicated upon a complaint containing a 'malice count.' The legal effects of this would be that, should Latimer fail to pay any judgment that might be won, he could be made to languish in the common jail of Cook County for an indefinite period."

As explained by Mr. Dilling the motion by the Assistant States Attorney that the case be stricken and dismissed from the court call means a decisive victory for us. In short after swearing out a warrant for my arrest March 3 and after 9 months of postponements and refusal to furnish particulars of crime, Mr. Ira Latimer confesses that he has no case against me.

I am convinced that my case was to be the first in a series of such cases against Nationalists, as well as a peg on which to hang the infamous Javits bill. My first and only consideration has been and is that my case may be a clear cut victory that can stand as a warning against any such future action. I object to the condition "right to reinstate it at some future time" which is a sword hanging over my head, and could be lowered at a moment which would harm some Nationalist. As there is no recourse except a suit for false arrest. I leave that decision to the informed Patriotic Americans.

LYRL VAN HYNING

IS ANNA ROSENBERG RED?

(Continued from Page 1)—

It is better to be safe than sorry. The nation may live to find this out sooner than it expects. The nation can require Anna M. Rosenberg to divulge her fitness to hold the high post she now fills no less than it called upon Vice-President Elect Nixon to divulge his fitness to hold the high position to which he was nominated. The sanctity of the highest positions in our government is no respector of persons."

The American must be reminded of the facts surrounding the appointment of Anna M. Rosenberg as Assistant Secretary of Defense before analyzing the facts surrounding her confirmation by Senator Millard ("Whitewash") Tydings' Senate Committee on Armed Services. General George C. Marshall was installed as Secretary of State by Dean Acheson's "friends." General Marshall "prepared the way" for Dean Acheson to step into the position of Secretary of State. General Marshall was a "pushover" for the Reds in the State Department. They had a genuine "Roman holiday" from that day on. The story is no longer news.

General George C. Marshall was then "transferred" by the "long arm" of the international Red "invisible empire" to the Department of Defense. General Marshall was appointed Secretary of Defense. While Congress was in recess General Marshall appointed Anna M. Rosenberg Assistant Secretary of Defense. General Marshall has gone on record that he "consulted" with no one about the appointment. General Marshall has never denied that Bernard Baruch, Senator Herbert Lehman, and the Warburg clan had "expressed" themselves on the matter. This group are the most important "friends" among those who seek to install Anna M. Rosenberg in strategic spots in government. General George C. Marshall knew that he would have great difficulty in getting Congress to confirm the appointment. General Marshall waited until Congress had recessed. General Marshall made the appointment at a time when it did not require the confirmation by Congress to install Anna M. Rosenberg in office. His Commander-in-Chief taught him that trick.

Not alone was Anna M. Rosenberg "sneaked" into the high office of Assistant Secretary of Defense while Congress was in recess, but the hearings to confirm her were "executive sessions" of Senator Millard ("Whitewash") Tydings' Senate Committee on Armed Services. In the lingo of the layman "executive sessions" means sessions held in secret. In other words the "deal" was made by the "friends" of Anna M. Rosenberg to have her appointed while Congress was not sitting in Washington, and then have the friend of her "friends," Senator Millard ("Whitewash") Tydings hold a secret session of his Senate Committee on Armed Services to put the stamp of their approval upon the appointment. Nice going, eh? But there is frequently a "slip t'wixt the cup and the lip." Divine

Providence intervened at this point. The "friends" of Anna M. Rosenberg will battle unto the death to prevent exposure of the part they played in the appointment and confirmation of Anna M. Rosenberg as Assistant Secretary of Defense. Will they succeed? The answer to that question is in the hands of the American people.

The ramifications of the extensive conspiracy to install Anna M. Rosenberg into the important and strategic position of Assistant Secretary of Defense is convincingly illustrated by the contents of the printed record of the hearings held by the Senate Committee on Armed Services on November 29, 1950. It is essential to understanding "what goes on" in government, while John Q. Public concentrates exclusively on making more money and having more fun, to analyze and review what took place on November 29, 1950 before the Senate Committee on Armed Services, under the chairmanship of Senator Millard ("Whitewash") Tydings who presided.

The printed record of the hearings to confirm the nomination of Anna M. Rosenberg to be the Assistant Secretary of Defense has twenty-four pages. Those twenty-four pages are filled exclusively with testimony given by Anna M. Rosenberg. The only person called by the Senate Committee on Armed Services to appear before them and give testimony as to the fitness of Anna M. Rosenberg for that high post was Anna M. Rosenberg herself. Not another person was called to submit even one word on the question of Anna M. Rosenberg's fitness to step into that strategic position. It seems almost unbelievable. But that is the truth of the matter. No one but Anna M. Rosenberg was invited to appear before the Committee to testify regarding the fitness of Anna M. Rosenberg to occupy that office. Let John Q. Public try to swallow that fact, and then try to keep it down!

The printed record of that November 29, 1950 hearings, (United States Government Printing Office, Document No. 76029, Washington, 1950) is available to all who care to ask for a copy. It is better than a comic magazine. By all means write for one, they are free. There are twenty-four pages filled with "love and kisses from Anna to Anna" or "love and kisses from Anna to the committee," or visa versa. The printed record contains a telegram received by Chairman Millard ("Whitewash") Tydings from Secretary of Defense General George C. Marshall on November 29, 1950, the day the hearings were held.

In his telegram of November 29, 1950 to Chairman Tydings, General Marshall wired as follows, "... I want you to know ... I selected her for the position ... I advised no one other than Lovet and Mrs. Rosenberg of my selection until I talked to the President on election day." General George C. Marshall issued a "release" to the newspapers on November 9, 1950. Between the date of this release on November 9, 1950 and the date of the first hearing to confirm this appointment thousands of telegrams and letters poured into the Senate Committee on Armed Services and to the Senators on the

committee, and to other Members of Congress, protesting the appointment. Yet at the secret hearing held on November 29, 1950 Senator Millard ("Whitewash") Tydings had the unadulterated nerve to state the following, "I have here, which I will be glad to place in the record, if the committee wants, three telegrams of opposition to Mrs. Rosenberg, and three letters, making six communications all told." In the official record of the hearings Senator Millard ("Whitewash") Tydings describes the "conspiracy" of certain "anti-Semites" to attempt to keep the Jewess out of the Pentagon. Senator Tydings further stated, "I have read them through, and all of the objections are on simply general grounds." Senator Tydings' crony in the White House selected the expression of "red herring" when the President of the United States was pressured into defending Alger Hiss.

After Chairman Millard ("Whitewash") Tydings and Anna M. Rosenberg had exchanged "love and kisses" for nine printed pages of testimony in the official printed record of the November 29, 1950 secret hearing the "cat got out of the bag." The Chairman referred to a letter from "Tom R. Jones, M.D. who specializes in diseases of the chest, Houston, Texas, and he says she is socialistic and a Communist sympathizer, as shown through her membership in the John Reed Club." The "lid was off" but it did not take the committee long to put the "lid" back, and as they believed, hermetically sealed-up. But the "lid" was to be blown off again with a "bang." And what an odor emitted!

In spite of the thousands of telegrams and letters pouring into the committee members suggesting that Anna M. Rosenberg might prove a serious security risk on account of her political background, the committee did not see fit in their judgment to call a single witness before the committee to testify on Anna M. Rosenberg's political background. It is interesting to quote here from the printed record of the November 29, 1950 secret hearings, where on page 10 it states as follows;

THE CHAIRMAN. What is the John Reed Club, Mrs. Rosenberg?

MRS. ROSENBERG. I would like to answer that because Senator Cain was also good enough to give me some other complaints he had, and Mr. Fulton Lewis, Jr. on the air, mentioned that I was a member of the John Reed Club.

I didn't know what the John Reed Clubs were, but I looked up the John Reed Clubs, and they were Communist clubs.

I understand at one time, when I was up here with the Social Security Board, Congressman Engel of Michigan asked me if I knew that I had been cited by the Dies committee to belong to some Communist club. I said I had never known, and I would appreciate if they would immediately show me the record.

They showed me the record, and it was these clubs. The person who was cited was an Anna Rosenberg, not Anna M. Rosenberg, and the Dies report said that anyone who belongs to these clubs must be a writer. It was another Anna

NOMINATION OF ANNA M. ROSENBERG TO BE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

HEARING

BEFORE THE

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES
UNITED STATES SENATE

ON

THE NOMINATION OF ANNA M. ROSENBERG, OF NEW YORK, TO BE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (A POSITION TO WHICH SHE WAS APPOINTED DURING THE LAST RECESS OF THE SENATE)

NOVEMBER 29, 1950

UNITED STATES
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON : 1950

10

NOMINATION OF ANNA M. ROSENBERG

past and at one time voted against anti-Communist activities of the New York police.

Furthermore, it is vitally important, now more than ever, that the United States should have only the most trusted and dependable men in the national defense jobs. This position should be filled by someone who is not socialist.

The CHAIRMAN. I mean, did they actually locate and identify the other Anna Rosenberg?

Mrs. ROSENBERG. I believe they did. She was a writer.

The CHAIRMAN. Is that shown in the record of the Dies committee?

Mrs. ROSENBERG. I believe so.

The CHAIRMAN. I mean, was her identity proven beyond doubt as being a distinct separate entity from yours?

Mrs. ROSENBERG. I am quite sure it was as far as the gentlemen who were there at the time of that hearing; and, furthermore, I asked the question.

long list. I asked Mr. Fulton Lewis, Jr., to be good enough to tell me where he got his information—the broadcasting company asked him—and he told us that he got it from the same source, from this so-called Dies committee record.

NOMINATION OF ANNA M. ROSENBERG TO BE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

BEFORE THE

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES
UNITED STATES SENATE

EIGHTY-FIRST CONGRESS

SECOND SESSION

ON

THE NOMINATION OF ANNA M. ROSENBERG, OF NEW YORK, TO BE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (A POSITION TO WHICH SHE WAS APPOINTED DURING THE LAST RECESS OF THE SENATE)

NOVEMBER 29, 1950

UNITED STATES
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON : 1950

10

NOMINATION OF ANNA M. ROSENBERG

past and at one time voted against anti-Communist activities of the New York police.

Furthermore, it is vitally important, now more than ever, that the United States should have only the most trusted and dependable men in the national defense jobs. This position should be filled by someone who is not socialist.

it was—Sixth Avenue. It was another Anna Rosenberg.

The CHAIRMAN. I mean, did they actually locate and identify the other Anna Rosenberg?

Mrs. ROSENBERG. Yes they did. She was a writer.

The CHAIRMAN. Is that shown in the record of the Dies committee?

Mrs. ROSENBERG. Her name and address are shown because that is how I got it.

The CHAIRMAN. I mean, was her identity proven beyond doubt as being a distinct separate entity from yours?

Mrs. ROSENBERG. I am quite sure it was as far as the gentlemen who were there at the time of that hearing; and, furthermore, I asked the

long list. I asked Mr. Fulton Lewis, Jr., to be good enough to tell me where he got his information—the broadcasting company asked

—(Continued from Page 2)—

Rosenberg.

THE CHAIRMAN. I mean, did they actually locate and identify the other Anna Rosenberg?

MRS. ROSENBERG. I believe they did. She was a writer.

THE CHAIRMAN. Is that shown in the record of the Dies committee?

MRS. ROSENBERG. I BELIEVE SO.

THE CHAIRMAN. I mean, was her identity proven beyond doubt as being a distinct separate entity from yours?

MRS. ROSENBERG. I am quite sure it was as far as the gentlemen who were there at the time of that hearing;

That was the testimony of Anna M. Rosenberg under oath before the Senate Committee on Armed Services on November 29, 1950. The Senate Committee on Armed Services received a letter from Anna M. Rosenberg dated December 1, 1950, addressed to Senator Millard ("whitewash") Tydings. In that letter Anna M. Rosenberg stated the following:

Assistant Secretary of Defense,
Washington, December 1, 1950

Senator Millard E. Tydings,
Chairman, Senate Armed Services Committee.
Dear Mr. Chairman:

Upon my return to my office yesterday after the executive session of your committee, I recalled that I had incorrectly calculated the percentage that I shall retain in my business.

I believe the incorrect figure of 51 percent appears on page 30 of the stenographic transcript of the hearing, and I would appreciate if you would have the necessary correction made.

I would also like to make a correction as to the date I obtained my citizenship and give you a full record.

Sincerely,
Anna M. Rosenberg

It therefore appears that on or before December 1, 1950 Anna M. Rosenberg had received and read a stenographic transcript of the testimony she gave before the executive (closed to the public) session on November 29, 1950. Anna M. Rosenberg evidently read the stenographic transcript very carefully and also very promptly communicated the corrections referred to in the above letter to the Committee. It is the customary practice to permit corrections before the stenographic transcript is sent to the Government Printing Office to be printed as the official record. Among these corrections to be made Anna M. Rosenberg made no mention of any correction in her testimony regarding the John Reed Clubs and her purported connection with them. Anna M. Rosenberg seemed silent and satisfied on that testimony.

The Senate Committee on Armed Services then ordered 10,000 copies printed by the Government Printing Office. They were made available to anyone who was interested. The 10,000 copies were delivered to the office of the Senate Committee on Armed Services in Room 222 of the Senate Office Building. They formed several large piles stacked near the entrance and anyone could obtain as many as they desired for the asking. A few were obtained by persons visiting the office and requesting them. Then something very strange happened. On the day following the delivery of the 10,000 copies of the printed record to the office of the Senate Committee on Armed Services, orders were issued not to permit the distribution of any more and the balance were withdrawn to be destroyed. Persons requesting copies were told that the printed record was withdrawn and that a new printed record for distribution would be ready in a few days. Efforts were also made to recall from circulation the few copies which had already been distributed. What was the reason for all this?

It appears that after "friends" of Anna M. Rosenberg had read and studied her testimony it was deemed necessary to "withdraw" her testimony and substitute another version. Anna M. Rosenberg had not discovered any error in her testimony on the subject of the John Reed Clubs when she read the stenographic transcript of her testimony on or before December 1, 1950. But on December 11, 1950 Anna M. Rosenberg's "friends" believed that her testimony about her connection with the John Reed Clubs should be "revised." As a result of this interest by her "friends" the original 10,000 copies of the printed record were ordered destroyed and another printing ordered with a "revised" version of Anna M. Rosenberg's testimony under oath on the subject of the John Reed Clubs inserted in place of her testimony under oath before the "executive session" of the Senate Committee on Armed Services on November 29, 1950. Now it is important to note the difference in the original testimony and the "revised" version of that testimony.

On December 13, 1950 there was made available in any quantity desired the "revised" printed record of the November 29, 1950 hearings. But strange as it seems it was not marked "Revised." The second edition of the printed record of the hearings was marked in large black type on its cover "Reprint." The use of the word "Reprint" disarmed any suspicion that the second edition differed in any material way from the first edition. That was evidently the intention. The word "Reprint" can only indicate one thing. But the second edition was not a "Reprint" at all. It was a "Revised" edition, and "revised" on the only important question raised at the hearings bearing upon the fitness of Anna M. Rosenberg to serve as Assistant Secretary of Defense from a security point of view. How was the "revised" version of Anna M. Rosenberg's connection with the John Reed Clubs different from the testimony given by her under oath, and not corrected by her after reading the stenographic transcript?

The contents of the "revised" edition differ only on page 10 where Anna M. Rosenberg testified regarding her purported connections with the John Reed Clubs. The "revised" edition reads as follows:

THE CHAIRMAN. I mean, did they actually locate and identify the other Anna Rosenberg?

MRS. ROSENBERG. YES THEY DID. She was a writer.

THE CHAIRMAN. Is that shown in the record of the Dies committee?

MRS. ROSENBERG. HER NAME AND ADDRESS ARE SHOWN BECAUSE THAT IS HOW I GOT IT.

From the "revised" testimony which appears in the "Reprint," (United States Government Printing Office, Document 76169, Washington, 1950), it appears that Anna M. Rosenberg had finally "pinpointed" the OTHER Anna Rosenberg. Anna M. Rosenberg remembered, according to the "revised" edition of her testimony before the executive session on November 29, 1950, that there was a record of the OTHER Anna Rosenberg's address in the records of the House Committee on Un-American Activities, known as the Dies Committee at the time the OTHER Anna Rosenberg was the subject of investigation. Anna M. Rosenberg's testimony in the first edition of the printed record of the hearings stated merely that she "believed" that the Dies committee "did actually locate and identify" the OTHER Anna Rosenberg. She used the word "believe" in answer to all questions on that point put to her by Chairman Millard ("Whitewash") Tydings.

In the "revised" second edition of the printed record, which is marked very prominently on its cover "Reprint" but which it is not, Anna M. Rosenberg is quoted as having said, "YES THEY DID" and not, "I believe they did," as appears in the first edition. In the "revised" edition Anna M. Rosenberg is quoted as replying to the question, "Is that shown in the record of the Dies committee" that, "HER NAME AND ADDRESS ARE SHOWN BECAUSE THAT IS HOW I GOT IT." In the first edition of the printed record Anna M. Rosenberg replied to that same question, "I BELIEVE SO." Repeated requests by Members of Congress to determine the source of authority for this alteration of Anna M. Rosenberg's testimony under oath has been met with a wall of silence in the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the Secretary of the Printing Office for printed records of committee hearings.

Senate, who issues the orders to the Government

If the Dies Committee on Un-American Activities has the address of the OTHER Anna Rosenberg why was she not produced at the reopened hearings on the question of Anna M. Rosenberg's fitness to serve as Assistant Secretary of Defense held on December 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13 and 14, 1950? That would have settled all doubt about ANOTHER Anna Rosenberg. Certainly the fact that this OTHER Anna Rosenberg was a writer, and the fact that her ADDRESS was in the Dies Committee records according to Anna M. Rosenberg, should have made it easy for the FBI to produce her to face Ralph De Sola, who identified Anna M. Rosenberg at those hearings as THE Anna Rosenberg he had met many times at meetings of the John Reed Clubs. A communist organization.

The veracity of Ralph DeSola can best be judged by the testimony under oath of Dr. J. B. Matthews who testified at the reopened hearings held between December 5, 1950 and December 14, 1950. Firstly it must be noted that Dr. J. B. Matthews was the Director of Research for the House Committee on Un-American Activities, the Dies Committee, for 6½ years according to his testimony. Dr. J. B. Matthews' opinion of Ralph DeSola's veracity was expressed by him under questioning by Senator Knowland of California, a member of the committee. The testimony was unqualified confirmation of Ralph DeSola's character and integrity, as well as his reputation for truthfulness. That testimony appears on page 131 of the printed record of the reopened hearings held on December 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, and 14, 1950, (United States Government Printing Office, Document 76,029, Washington, 1950), which was printed BEFORE the "revised" second edition of Anna M. Rosenberg's November 29, 1950 hearing before the secret "executive session" of the committee, and reads as follows:

SENATOR KNOWLAND. Dr. Matthews, in the six years you were connected with the Dies committee did you have occasion to know or to have information concerning Ralph DeSola, who has been a witness before this committee?

MR. MATTHEWS. Yes, I had several contacts with Mr. DeSola. He was a witness on three or four different occasions before the House Un-American Activities Committee and subsequently was one of the IMPORTANT witnesses before the Rapp-Coudert committee of the New York State Legislature.

SENATOR KNOWLAND. Can you inform the committee, BASED ON YOUR OWN KNOWLEDGE OF HIS PRIOR TESTIMONY, as to whether the facts that he testified to had been confirmed by other sources?

In other words, was he a reliable witness or reasonably reliable witness in those other cases, or did you find he testified to a great deal of material which could not be substantiated?

MR. MATTHEWS. When Mr. DeSola's name first came to my attention this past week I recalled in a vague sort of way that HIS TESTIMONY HAD BEEN INVALID, but to check my memory, I got the volumes out and read his entire testimony WORD FOR WORD, and I do not hesitate to say that as of that period and as of that testimony, I HAVE NEVER READ MORE INTELLIGENT, ARTICULATE, CAREFUL, AND BALANCED TESTIMONY BEFORE ANY CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEE . . . I WOULD SAY THAT HIS STATEMENTS HAVE BEEN CONFIRMED 100%—NOT EVEN 99.

When Ralph DeSola was brought face to face with Anna M. Rosenberg he identified her as the same Anna Rosenberg he had met at several meetings of the John Reed Clubs. (Pages 120, 121, 122, and 123 in the printed record of the reopened hearings before the committee on December 8, 1950, United States Government Document 76029, Washington, 1950). Anna M. Rosenberg was already acting as Assistant Secretary of Defense at the time she testified on December 8, 1950. In view of Dr. Matthews' unqualified certification of Ralph DeSola's truthfulness it will prove helpful where any doubt exists

—(Continued on Page 4)—

Red Highlights

Jewish newspapers in the country are concentrating on two major issues: "McCarthyism" and repeal of the McCarran-Walters Immigration law. They want to repeal the McCarran-Walters Act to allow more of their revolutionary pals into the country, and they want to get rid of McCarthy so that he will not expose them. "Every night" said a conductor on the transcontinental R.R., "We pick up in Chicago 1, 2, or 3, baggage cars. Each car carries 70 boxes-broken remains of American young men coming home from Korea. These dead are carried on our reports as PASSENGERS" Six weeks have passed since the Grand Jury of Union County, N.J. heard evidence concerning the complaints against the terrorists Jews who attacked Common Sense and two Union Youths with chains and rocks. We recently heard that nothing is going to be done about it—no Bill has been handed down—all is silent. Everybody is afraid of the Communist Jews for fear of being labeled Anti-semitic All Jewish holidays in East Soviet Zone of Germany are Legal Holidays. All top officers in the Soviet Army are Zionist Jews and top officials in W. Berlin American Zone are Zionist Jews. The prosecution in the Van Hyning case has withdrawn the complaint. Why? Was it because Mrs. Van Hyning was going to introduce evidence to show that the Zionist Jews follow the Talmud for the enslavement of Christianity? Can we expect the German people to assist the Allied forces to stop the Soviets after the Allied forces have exploited and ruined the entire German nation that tried to stop Communism? A report from Germany states that the morale of the German people has been broken by the thorough undermining of the Allied Morganthau Plan Jewish newspapers in England are complaining of the circulation of Common Sense throughout Europe Sen. Nixon has two strikes against him: (1) his campaign manager is a Zionist Jew, Murray Chotiner, (2) through the influence of he A.D.L., he opposed Sen. Jack B. Tenney the fighting anti-Communist The value of American money steadily decreases with the Canadian dollar worth five cents more than the American dollar The Time Magazine in August 16, 1948 issue p.25 printed the following: "The United Nations' ideal is a Jewish ideal" by David Ben Gurion, Premier of Israel Slovak churches are being divested of all gold articles, books, pictures and other valuables, according to a report from the Slovak underground. The police and military were instructed to send all such items to the National Bank of Prague. (All European banks are in the hands of Zionist Jews) Americans are busy trying to hold on to their money. Soon they will be busy trying to hold on to their heads. The Rosenberg Atom Spies are being supported by Jewish organizations Don't be surprised if they receive a reprieve before the New Deal goes out The Jewish War Veterans Post on Dauphin St. in Philadelphia was charged with showing obscene films. Those under \$100 bail are Henry Levin, David H. Gander, and Irvin Herring In 7 years the Soviet Empire has grown from 190,000,000 to 830,000,000 A report from Vienna stated that some 15,000 nuns had been ousted by the Prague regime from their convents in the fall of 1950 and placed in concentration camps The Western world's first atom spy Dr. Alan N. May, Zionist Jew, is due for release in England

Your Freedom Depends On Your Cooperation

Every year we read in the newspapers where the Communist Party and Zionist organizations, such as the U. J. A. run fund drives for one purpose or another and set the goals well over the \$100,000 mark. A few weeks after the fund drives have opened and the initial advertisements have entered the newspapers, we read that they have hit the \$1,000,000 and are reaching the \$2,000,000, mark. These organizations are the minority groups in the United States whose principles are the exact opposite to the beliefs and principles of 150,000,000 Americans, yet they manage to accumulate millions of dollars each year for their propaganda. The ironical twist to this train of thought is that a real American organization or publication, the real ideals of which are to promote Americanism and freedom for 150,000,000 people cannot raise a few paltry dollars to guarantee these basic rights. **ONE WONDERS WHY COMMUNISM AND ATHEISM ARE SO SUCCESSFUL IN THE WORLD, THIS IS ONE CONCRETE EXAMPLE, THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE THE MOST TO LOSE IN A FIGHT PUT THE LEAST AMOUNT OF EFFORT AND SACRIFICE INTO IT. IT IS NO WONDER THAT THE INVISABLE GOVERNMENT CONTROLS THE WORLD.** We urge you to become active in this fight. Get new subscribers to Common Sense. Sell and Buy books from Common Sense. Send in donations. Common Sense has stocked a large supply of beautiful Christmas cards with the anticipation that the readers of Common Sense would support the fight by purchasing their cards from us this year. We are depending upon the sale of these Christmas cards as a means of raising funds and relying upon the loyalty of our readers. For if the readers of Common Sense do not rally to such an appeal then there is no hope for the rest of America. We feel that the readers of Common Sense are the cream of America. **SO BACK US UP NOW WITH YOUR ORDERS FOR CHRISTMAS CARDS.**

IS ANNA ROSENBERG RED?

—(Continued from Page 3)—
on this question to obtain a copy of the printed record of Ralph DeSola's testimony for study. Copies can be obtained from the United States Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

The "OTHER" Anna Rosenberg was never produced. Rumors were circulated by Anna M. Rosenberg's "friends" that the "OTHER" Anna Rosenberg had been located. But this "OTHER" Anna Rosenberg was not produced to face Ralph DeSola. The "OTHER" Anna Rosenberg never appeared before the Senate Committee on Armed Services to testify under oath that she was the "OTHER" Anna Rosenberg. This "OTHER" Anna Rosenberg has never communicated with Ralph DeSola to straighten him out on his identification of Anna M. Rosenberg as the Anna Rosenberg he met at the John Reed Club meetings. There may be many reasons why the "OTHER" Anna Rosenberg has never been produced. It would appear quite important to remove the last trace of any doubt regarding the presence of the Assistant Secretary of Defense at meetings of clubs which Ralph DeSola describes as "a revolutionary club, not a writers club" as claimed by Anna M. Rosenberg in her testimony in the executive session of the Senate Committee on Armed Service on November 29, 1950.

On one occasion during the height of the uproar Ralph DeSola received a telephone call from the FBI requesting a meeting with him. On that occasion Ralph DeSola was shown a photograph. It was the photograph of a tall, buxom woman sitting on the railing of a porch. Ralph DeSola was asked if he had ever seen that woman. He was asked if he could recognize her as a woman whom he had ever seen or met before. Ralph DeSola stated that to the very best of his recollection he had never set eyes on that woman and he was equally certain that he had never met her in person. The FBI agent then explained their interest in the photograph. The FBI agent stated that the photograph had been submitted to them by "friends" of Anna M. Rosenberg with the claim that it was the photograph of the Anna Rosenberg who attended the John Reed Club meetings with Ralph DeSola. Ralph DeSola then was very positive that it could not have been the Anna Rosenberg he had met at the John Reed Club meetings.

Anna M. Rosenberg is a very petite person. The photograph was the picture of a very voluptuous woman of considerably greater height even though shown in a semi-sitting posture. After Ralph DeSola had convinced the FBI agent that the photograph could not possibly be the photograph of Assistant Secretary of Defense Anna M. Rosen-

COMMON SENSE, UNION, NEW JERSEY, DEPT. 2

I enclose \$..... for box(es) No. 100
..... box(es) No. 300
..... box(es) No. 400
..... box(es) No. 500
..... box(es) No. 600

Nativity Christmas Asst.	21 for \$1.00
Friendly Family Asst.	50 for \$1.25
Happy Holl-days Asst.	21 for \$1.00
Common Sense Deluxe Asst.	50 for \$2.00
Christmas Wrappings	\$1.25

JOHN FOSTER DULLES

—(Continued from Page 1)—
them to know; but lead them down the road to bankruptcy . . . Keep them living from crisis to crisis, from emergency to emergency."

Dulles has been one of the "leaders" of the subversive Federal (alias National) Council of Churches of Christ in the U. S. (alias America). The totalitarian nature of this "leadership" was aptly described by George Dugan of the American Council of Christian Churches, a truly American organization, at its meeting in Philadelphia reported in the *New York Times* of October 30, 1948, that charged that John Foster Dulles:

"Has been for fifteen years 'an effective tool of extremely radical and pacifist' church leaders associated with the Federal Council and World Council."

(Information taken from Dr. Josephson's book *Rockefeller Internationalist*, \$4.00.)

Entered as Second Class Matter January 27, 1948 at the Post Office at Union, N. J., under the act of March 3, 1879.

Common Sense*

Union, New Jersey, U. S. A.

Conde McGinley, Editor

If you want to be a big help in the fight to save your country and to preserve your own liberty and freedoms, your best bet at this critical moment is to get on the job and help to boost the circulation of Common Sense up to a million copies. When the Americans are correctly and completely informed on any subject they will always do the right thing at the right time. Circulation of a million copies now will win YOUR fight for YOU. So take off your coat and go to work. MORE distribution and MORE subscriptions in your community may be the "straw that breaks the camel's back". YOU owe this cooperation to YOURSELF and to YOUR CHILDREN. They did not ask to come into this world. You brought them into it. It is your DUTY TO THEM to do your best to keep the world a fit place to live in. But enough Americans are not yet aware of the conspiracy or the conspirators. Until and unless EVERY American knows the "score" this nation is in grave danger of defeat and destruction by the enemy.

\$1 for 30 copies, \$3 for 100, \$10 for 500
One year's subscription, \$1. Three years for \$2.50
First Class (Sealed)—\$3.00 per year
FOREIGN 10 MONTHS \$1.00

Common Sense*

Published twice monthly at
2001 Pleasant Parkway, Union, New Jersey, U. S. A.

*Trade Mark Registered United States Patent Office

LOYAL and PATRIOTIC AMERICANS

give or sell at least
five subscriptions to

Common Sense

...AND HELP SAVE OUR REPUBLIC



GENERAL MARSHAL and ANNA ROSENBERG